

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 478 of 2026**

=====

YASH ROADLINES THROUGH PROPERITER RAKESH THAKUR  
Versus  
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

**Appearance:**

MR HIMANSHU C DESAI(6832) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1  
GANDHI LAW ASSOCIATES(12275) for the Respondent(s) No. 2  
MS. KRISHNA DESAI, ASST. GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the  
Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

**CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.S.SANJAY GOWDA**  
and  
**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J. L. ODEDRA**

**Date : 21/08/2026**

**JUDGMENT**

**(PER : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.S.SANJAY GOWDA)**

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that notwithstanding the fact that the petitioner had challenged the tender notification, the petitioner has actually participated in the tender by depositing the Earnest Money Deposit.

In view of the fact that the contention in the Writ Petition was that the imposition of the condition to deposit the EMD and Security Deposit was in violation of the MSME Policy and the petitioner is stated to have deposited the EMD, this petition would not survive for consideration. The Writ Petition is therefore, dismissed accordingly.

**(N.S.SANJAY GOWDA,J)**

**(J. L. ODEDRA, J)**

Mehul Desai